



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,466	09/27/2001	Tsutomu Tamaki	030675-063	9568

7590

02/26/2003

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.
P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404

EXAMINER

LEE, BENNY T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2817

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILED	DATE	FILED	APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER	
PART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	7
DATE MAILED:	

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

- ☐ This application has been examined ☐ Response to communication ☐ This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 11 (11) days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to be abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENTS ARE PART OF THIS APPLICATION

- ☐ Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.
☐ Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449
☐ Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474
☐ Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948.
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. ☒ Claims 1-17 are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.
_____ have been cancelled.
_____ are allowed.
_____ are rejected.
_____ are objected to.
_____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.
2. ☐ Claims _____
3. ☐ Claims _____
4. ☐ Claims _____
5. ☐ Claims _____
6. ☒ Claims 1-17
7. ☐ This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes until such time as allowable subject matter is indicated.
8. ☐ Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. These drawings are ☐ acceptable;
_____ not acceptable (see explanation).
10. ☐ The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____, has (have) been ☐ approved by the examiner. ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
11. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been ☐ approved; ☐ disapproved (see explanation). However, it is now applicant's responsibility to ensure that the drawings are in compliance with the provisions set forth on the attached letter "INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES, PTO-1474."
12. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has ☐ been received ☐ not been received.
_____ has been filed in parent application, serial no. _____, filed on _____.
13. ☐ Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance or for prosecution, prosecution as to the merits is closed. 35 U.S.C. 213.
14. ☐ Other _____

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: the embodiment of Figs. 3A, 3B, 20A 20B;

Species II: the embodiment of Figs. 4, 5A, 5B, 6A, 6B, 21A, 21B, 22;

Species III: the embodiment of Fig. 7;

Species IV: the embodiment of Figs. 8A, 8B;

Species V: the embodiment of Figs. 10A, 10B, 10C, 19A-19C;

Species VI: the embodiment of Figs. 11A, 11B;

Species VII: the embodiment of Figs. 12A, 12B, 12C, 19A-19C;

Species VIII: the embodiment of Figs. 13A, 13B, 13C;

Species IX: the embodiment of Figs. 14A, 14B;

Species X: the embodiment of Figs. 16A, 16B;

Species XI: the embodiment of Figs. 18A, 18B.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Art Unit: 2817

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benny Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308 4902


BENNY T. LEE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2817